



Board of Supervisors Memorandum

September 6, 2011

Redistricting Pima County Supervisorial Districts

Background

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute §11-212, the Board of Supervisors is required to adopt revised supervisorial district boundaries on or before December 1 following the release of 10-year census data. Furthermore, the population of each of the revised districts is not to vary by more than 10 percent. Since the community college governing board districts follow county supervisorial lines in Arizona, these revisions would also impact the Pima Community College Governing Board districts. These new district boundaries would be effective for the 2012 elections, subject to no objection by the US Department of Justice. The Department of Justice will also review a revised precinct map for Pima County, which is being transmitted to the Board under a separate memorandum.

Following the release of the 2010 Census data, the Board appointed a Redistricting Advisory Committee on May 3, 2011, and also provided additional direction on public outreach. On July 14, 2011, the Committee adopted a map to recommend to the Board. Attachment 1 is the recommended map. Attachment 2 is a comparison of data associated with the recommended map to the current districts. This memorandum provides the following:

1. A recap of the State and Federal requirements and guidance;
2. Committee recommended map and associated data;
3. Summary of public outreach; and
4. Next steps.

It is recommended that the Board take the following actions on September 6, 2011:

1. Tentatively adopt the map recommended by the Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee on July 14, 2011 after a public hearing, and
2. Direct staff to place the item on Board's September 13, 2011 meeting for final adoption.

Recap of State and Federal Requirements and Guidance

The process for redrawing Pima County supervisorial districts is driven by state and federal law and guidance from the Department of Justice. State law requires that the Board adopt revised district boundaries, equalizing the population between districts within 10 percent of each other, on or before December 1 following the 10-year census.

Table 1 shows the estimated population of the supervisorial districts after the 2001 redistricting process (based on the 2000 census), the estimated population of the current supervisorial districts (based on the 2010 census), the target population for this redistricting effort if all districts were to have an equal population, and the amount by which the current estimated population of each district varies from the target population.

Table 1
Population Increase of Current Districts Since 2001 Redistricting

<u>District</u>	<u>2001</u> <u>(2000 Census)</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>Census</u>	<u>Target</u> <u>Population if</u> <u>Equal</u>	<u>Variance from Target</u> <u>Population</u>	
1	169,687	189,222	196,052.6	-6,831	3.48%
2	171,027	198,263	196,052.6	2,210	1.13%
3	166,401	199,347	196,052.6	3,294	1.68%
4	170,109	214,983	196,052.6	18,930	9.66%
5	166,522	178,448	196,052.6	-17,605	8.98%
Totals:	843,746	980,263	980,263.0		24.93%

Each of the districts gained population over the past 10 years, but some grew more than others. District 4, the most populous district, has about 20 percent more population than District 5, the least populous district. There is more than one way to calculate the percent difference in population between districts. The total variance from the target is a more conservative indicator that was used in the 2001 redistricting process. In both cases the difference exceeds the "not more than 10 percent" requirement in state law.

In addition to state law, the new district boundaries must conform to the constitutional "one person, one vote" requirement to make an honest and good faith effort to create population equality amongst districts. Furthermore, under the Voting Rights Act, Pima County is still considered a covered jurisdiction, which requires the County to submit the revised district lines to the Department of Justice for a determination under Section 5 of the Act, that new district lines will not have a discriminatory purpose or will not result in a discriminatory effect. On February 9, 2011, the Department of Justice published guidance concerning redistricting under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act in order to assist the

substantial number of jurisdictions that are subject to the preclearance requirements of Section 5.

Based on this guidance, staff and the Redistricting Advisory Committee considered the following indicators during this redistricting process:

1. Retrogression in minority voting strength - Changes from the current supervisorial districts (referred to by the Department of Justice as the "benchmark plan" since these were the last supervisor district boundaries approved by the Department of Justice) in the percent of minority populations as defined by the 2010 census. These include both individual minority classifications (Black/African American, American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian and Hispanic) and the aggregate of the minority populations for each district. In the case of Pima County, Districts 2 and 5 are considered minority-majority districts because the majority of the populations in these districts are minorities. In reviewing the various maps, staff and the Committee considered whether the minority populations in these minority-majority districts were reduced in comparison to the current districts, and if so, whether there were any alternative configurations that would prevent this reduction or retrogression.
2. Voter registration and historical voter turnout - The Department of Justice guidance stated that census data alone may not be enough to prove that a redistricting plan is not discriminatory. The Department went on to suggest that information on voter behavior, such as voter registration and voter turnout is important too. As a result, staff and the Committee considered the percent of registered voters per district in comparison to the current districts, and the percent of registered voters that turned out at the 2008 and 2010 general elections.

In practice this resulted in staff and the Committee reviewing tables of information similar to Attachment 2.

Additional indicators required to be considered at state level redistricting, but not required at the county level, were considered to a much lesser degree: geographic compactness, geographic contiguity, natural boundaries and communities of interest.

Committee Recommended Map and Associated Data

The map adopted by the Committee for recommendation to the Board is attached. The approach taken by the Committee was to retain the existing integrity of the districts to the extent possible. Voting precincts were used as the building blocks to develop maps. The precincts outlined in blue on the map are the precincts that were recommend by the Committee to be moved to another district. These 20 precincts, out of 417 precincts total in Pima County, are listed in Table 2.

Table 2
Committee Recommended Map – Precincts Moved

<u>To District 1</u>	<u>To District 2</u>	<u>To District 3</u>	<u>To District 4</u>	<u>To District 5</u>
14	129	209	329	52
41	251	258		109
186		297		110
301		407		203
397		414		294
				405
				410

Table 3 compares the estimated population of the current districts to the estimated population of the districts as shown on the Committee recommended map. District 4 would lose the most population and District 5 would gain the most.

Table 3
Population of Current Districts vs. Committee Recommended Districts

<u>District</u>	<u>Current Population</u>	<u>Committee Recommended Population</u>	<u>Difference</u>
1	189,222	197,118	7,896
2	198,263	194,686	-3,577
3	199,347	195,287	-4,060
4	214,983	197,793	-17,190
5	178,448	195,379	16,931
Totals:	980,263	980,263	

Table 4 shows how each district would vary in population from the target population under the Committee recommendation. The target population is simply the total population of Pima County divided by five. Each district would vary by less than one percent from the target, and the total variance would be 2.86 percent. District 4, would still be the most populous district and would have about 1.6 percent more people than District 2, which would become the least populous district. By either measure, the population of each of the revised districts would vary by significantly less than 10 percent.

Table 4
Committee Recommended – Variance from Target Population

<u>District</u>	<u>Target Population if Equal</u>	<u>Committee Recommended Population</u>	<u>Variance from Target</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
1	196,052.6	197,118	1,065	0.54%
2	196,052.6	194,686	-1,367	0.70%
3	196,052.6	195,287	-766	0.39%
4	196,052.6	197,793	1,740	0.89%
5	196,052.6	195,379	-674	0.34%
Totals:	980,263.0	980,263		2.86%

Tables 5 and 6 show the estimated population of minority groups, by district, for the current Board districts and the Committee recommended districts. The two minority-majority districts, 2 and 5, are highlighted for easier comparison. As you can see, the majority of changes in minority populations would be less than one tenth of one percent. However, the Hispanic and aggregate minority population of District 2 would decrease by about 1.8 and 1.6 percent respectively. In addition, the Hispanic and aggregate minority population of District 5 would increase by 1.1 and 0.8 percent respectively.

According to the Department of Justice’s February guidance, the Committee recommended map could be deemed to have a retrogressive effect on minority voters in District 2 because it reduces the minority population percent, albeit by less than 2 percent. However, the same guidance document goes on to state that there may be circumstances because of shifts in population or the physical distribution of population with the jurisdiction, that make retrogression unavoidable. Staff did try to develop an alternative map that would prevent a reduction in the percent of minorities in District 2, but were unable to do so. The issue is that all of the precincts on the boundary of District 2, with the exception of District 5 precincts, have substantially lower minority populations than District 2. So moving any of those precincts into District 2 decreases the minority population. Moving precincts from District 5 to District 2 was not an option as District 5 was the District that had to gain the most population. We do not believe there is an alternative that would prevent this reduction in the percent of minorities in District 2, without having the same effect on District 5.

Table 5
Minority Populations and Current Board Districts

<u>District</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Black AA</u>	<u>%</u> <u>AM Indian</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Asian</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Hawaiian</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Hispanic</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Minority</u>
1	1.77	0.74	3.59	0.11	16.10	23.72
2	5.41	2.98	2.02	0.19	55.61	66.21
3	3.21	6.61	2.39	0.20	33.82	46.69
4	3.58	0.93	2.49	0.18	17.46	26.07
5	3.65	5.68	2.70	0.14	52.19	63.48

Table 6
Minority Populations and Committee Recommended Map

<u>District</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Black AA</u>	<u>%</u> <u>AM Indian</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Asian</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Hawaiian</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Hispanic</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Minority</u>
1	1.79	0.77	3.64	0.11	16.71	24.40
2	5.55	2.84	2.08	0.20	53.84	64.61
3	3.20	6.65	2.29	0.19	32.58	45.39
4	3.59	0.88	2.54	0.18	16.89	25.54
5	3.57	5.54	2.57	0.14	53.24	64.24

The Department of Justice guidance also suggested that voter registration and turnout data may be helpful in assessing whether a recommended plan is discriminatory. Tables 7 and 8 show voter registration and voter turnout, by district, for the current Board districts and as estimated for the Committee recommended districts. Voter turnout is defined as the percent of registered voters that voted in the 2008 and 2010 general elections. Again, the two minority-majority districts, 2 and 5, are highlighted for easier comparison. As you can see, the differences are negligible.

Table 7
Voter Registration and Turnout - Current Board Districts

<u>District</u>	<u>% Registered</u> <u>Voters</u>	<u>% Voter</u> <u>Turnout 08</u>	<u>% Voter</u> <u>Turnout 10</u>
1	61.60	86.41	72.53
2	38.04	70.73	54.94
3	44.98	77.24	62.26
4	59.89	85.78	72.71
5	42.98	71.30	57.00

Table 8
Voter Registration and Turnout – Committee Recommended Map

<u>District</u>	<u>% Registered Voters</u>	<u>% Voter Turnout 08</u>	<u>% Voter Turnout 10</u>
1	61.10	86.27	72.32
2	38.36	71.44	55.51
3	45.46	77.88	63.07
4	60.16	85.83	72.83
5	43.10	71.23	56.91

It should be noted that based on staff's experience during this process, minor modifications to the Committee recommended map are unlikely to result in significant changes to the minority, voter registration and voter turnout data.

Summary of Public Outreach

Public outreach for this redistricting effort included:

- A dedicated website
- Committee meetings open to the public (3 televised and web-cast live)
- Public hearings
- Notices and press releases for meetings and hearings
- Posting of Committee recommended map in the public libraries
- Solicitation of comments from special interest groups

Website - Prior to Committee's first meeting, a website was developed specifically for this redistricting effort www.pima.gov/redistricting, and is still active. The website includes an overview of the process, a search function for the public to determine which Board district they currently reside in, the schedule of committee meetings and public hearings, and all committee meeting materials including every map considered and the data associated with each map.

Redistricting Advisory Committee - The Board appointed one member each to Redistricting Advisory Committee on May 3, 2011. The Committee met six times between May 26 and July 14. All of the meetings were open to the public and included time for public comment. Three of the meetings were held in the Board Hearing Room in downtown Tucson and the other three meetings were held in northwest Tucson, Sahuarita, and Flowing Wells. Summaries of these meetings are available on the website, as well as all of the meeting materials. All documents provided to the Committee are listed in the Committee's document log (Attachment 4). A document log was also kept for documents not related to

the Committee (Attachment 5). The documents listed in the logs are available in the County Administrator's Office.

Public Hearings - After the Committee developed a draft map at their fifth meeting, staff then held seven public hearings to gather public input on the draft map. Public hearings were held in Vail, South Tucson, Oro Valley, Irvington and 6th Avenue, Sells, Tucson Estates, and northeast Tucson. A total of 27 different people attended the public hearings. A summary of the public hearings is available on the website, as well as transcripts for the four hearings in which members of the public gave testimony. The majority of the questions and comments concerned the process, or reprecincting, as opposed to support for or against moving certain precincts from one district to another. There was support voiced for consolidating the Elvira Neighborhood into one district. This neighborhood is currently split between District 2 and District 5. The Committee recommended map would place the entire neighborhood into District 5.

Notices and press releases for meetings and hearings - The first Committee meeting was noticed in the *Arizona Daily Star* on May 22, 2011. The next four meetings were noticed in the *Green Valley News* on June 12, the *Arizona Daily Star* on June 13 and 15, and the *Northwest Explorer*, *Sahuarita Sun* and *Daily Territorial* on June 15. The hearings and the final Committee meeting were advertised in the *Arizona Daily Star* on June 28 and 29, and the *Green Valley News*, *Sahuarita Sun* and *Northwest Explorer* on June 29. The County's Communications Office sent out a press release and posted a comment on the *Tucson Citizen* County blog on June 27. Emails were sent to email lists totaling 1,150 individuals. Notice of the Committee's July 14, 2011 meeting was sent by the City of Tucson to its citynews email list that includes approximately 8,400 email addresses.

Posting of Committee recommended map in the public libraries - As requested by Supervisor Carroll, the Committee's recommended map has been posted in public libraries.

Solicitation of comments from special interest groups - After the Committee approved a map to recommend to the Board, the Elections Department solicited comments on the map from several special interest groups (Attachment 3).

Next Steps

The Committee recommended map is on the Board's September 6 agenda for consideration. It is recommended that the Board tentatively approve the map and direct staff to place on the Board's September 13 agenda for final approval.

The next step is to submit the revised map and associated materials to the Department of Justice for preclearance. However, staff will hold off on the submittal while monitoring the Arizona Independent Redistricting Committee's (IRC) progress. The IRC's revision of legislative and congressional lines could impact the boundaries of precincts, and could therefore impact the Board's revised district map. If there is an impact to the Board's revised district map, staff will ask the Board to make minor changes.

The Honorable Chairman and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors
Re: **Redistricting Pima County Supervisorial Districts**
September 6, 2011
Page 9

Once the submittal to the Department of Justice is made, the Department can take up to 60 days to grant preclearance, deny preclearance, or request additional information. Assuming the Department grants preclearance, the new district boundaries would become effective March 1, 2012. The Records Office would then mail new voter registration cards.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board take the following actions on September 6, 2011:

1. Tentatively adopt the map recommended by the Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee on July 14, 2011 after a public hearing, and
2. Direct staff to place the item on Board's September 13, 2011 meeting for final adoption.

Respectfully submitted,



C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator


CHH/dr- (August 22, 2011)

Attachments

- c: The Honorable F. Ann Rodriguez, Pima County Recorder
Chairman and Members, Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee
Brad Nelson, Director, Elections Department
Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Nicole Fyffe, Executive Assistant to the County Administrator
Chris Roads, Chief Deputy Recorder, Pima County Recorder
Rob Sarich, Assistant Chief Deputy Recorder, Pima County Recorder
Dan Jurkowitz, Deputy County Attorney
Clark Phillips, Manager, Geographic Information Systems
Isabel Estrada, Senior Administrative Specialist, Elections Department
Sheryl Kornman, Communications Coordinator, Communication's Office

ATTACHMENT 1

Pima County Board of Supervisor's Redistricting Committee's Recommended Map



- Administrative Boundary
- Current BOSD Boundary
- PrecinctsMoved_Draft1
- Precinct Boundary
- Major Streets

Proposed

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5


Target Population per District 196,053

District	Total Population	Variance from Target Population	(Percent)
1	197,118	1065	(0.54%)
2	194,686	-1367	(0.70%)
3	195,287	-766	(0.39%)
4	197,793	1740	(0.89%)
5	195,379	-674	(0.34%)

Total Variance from Target District = 2.86%

Total Population Deviation from Most to Least Populated District = 3,107


Percent Deviation from Most to Least Populated District = 1.59%



Pima County Map


Index Map Scale 1:1,000,000 for Arch E Print

The information depicted on this display is the result of digital analyses performed on a variety of databases provided and maintained by several governmental agencies. The accuracy of the information presented is limited to the collective accuracy of these databases on the date of the analysis. Pima County Information Technology Department Geographic Information Systems makes no claims regarding the accuracy of the information depicted herein. This product is subject to the GIS Division Disclaimer and Use Restrictions.



GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Pima County Information Technology Dept.
201 North Stone Avenue - 5th Floor
Tucson, Arizona 85701-1207
(520)740-6670 - FAX:(520)798-3429



Map Scale 1:78,000 for Arch E Print



7/15/2011

ATTACHMENT 2

PIMA COUNTY SUPERVISOR REDISTRICTING 2011

COMPARISON OF COMMITTEE DRAFT MAP TO CURRENT BOS DISTRICTS
PERCENT MINORITY, REGISTERED VOTERS AND TURNOUT

CURRENT BOS DISTRICTS

DISTRICT	TOT_POP	TOT_PO_DEV	%BLACK_AA	%AM_INDIAN	%ASIAN	%HAWAIIAN	%HISPANIC	%MINORITY	%REG VOTERS	%VOTER TURNOUT 08	%VOTER TURNOUT 10
1	189222	-6831	1.77	0.74	3.59	0.11	16.10	23.72	61.60	86.41	72.53
2	198263	2210	5.41	2.98	2.02	0.19	55.61	66.21	38.04	70.73	54.94
3	199347	3294	3.21	6.61	2.39	0.20	33.82	46.69	44.98	77.24	62.26
4	214983	18930	3.58	0.93	2.49	0.18	17.46	26.07	59.89	85.78	72.71
5	178448	-17605	3.65	5.68	2.70	0.14	52.19	63.48	42.98	71.30	57.00

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED MAP

DISTRICT	TOT_POP	TOT_PO_DEV	%BLACK_AA	%AM_INDIAN	%ASIAN	%HAWAIIAN	%HISPANIC	%MINORITY	%REG VOTERS	%VOTER TURNOUT 08	%VOTER TURNOUT 10
1	197118	1065	1.79	0.77	3.64	0.11	16.71	24.40	61.10	86.27	72.32
2	194686	-1367	5.55	2.84	2.08	0.20	53.84	64.61	38.36	71.44	55.51
3	195287	-766	3.20	6.65	2.29	0.19	32.58	45.39	45.46	77.88	63.07
4	197793	1740	3.59	0.88	2.54	0.18	16.89	25.54	60.16	85.83	72.83
5	195379	-674	3.57	5.54	2.57	0.14	53.24	64.34	43.10	71.23	56.91

ATTACHMENT 3

As of today August 24, 2011, no letters have been received, however we are expecting formal letters prior to the September 6, 2011 Board meeting and will distribute accordingly.

ATTACHMENT 4

Pima County Redistricting Committee

Document Log

Log Number	Correspondence Date	Date Provided	Description/Source (meeting materials, public comments, etc..)	Binder Location
1	5.11.11	5.11.11	Current Supervisorial District Map – Email from Nicole Fyffe to Committee	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
2	5.11.11	5.11.11	Current Supervisorial District Map attached to 5.11.11 email from Nicole Fyffe	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
3	5.18.11	5.18.11	Committee Meeting Materials Distribution E-mail from Deseret Romero	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
4	5.26.11	5.18.11	Committee Meeting Agenda (May 26, 2011)	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
5	5.26.11	5.18.11	Memorandum from Chuck Huckelberry to Board dated 4.19.11 Regarding: Redistricting Supervisorial Districts	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
6	5.11.11	5.18.11	Materials from Robert Fee to the Committee (various news articles related to redistricting)	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
7	5.18.11	5.18.11	Nicole Fyffe memorandum to Committee, regarding; <i>Draft public Outreach Plan – Agenda Item No.5</i>	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
8	-	5.19.11	5.26.11 Committee Meeting Agenda; Clerk’s stamped copy (dated 5.19.11 9:05 AM)	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
9	-	5.25.11	TucsonCitizen.com editorial – Email from Nicole Fyffe to Committee	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
10	5.25.11	5.25.11	Memorandum from Chuck Huckelberry to Committee dated 5.25.11 Regarding: TucsonCitizen.com Editorial Dated 5.21.11	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
11	-	5.26.11	Open Meeting Law Handout provided by Dan Jurkowitz with the County Attorney’s Office	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
12	-	5.26.11	May 26, 2011 Committee Meeting DVD	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
13	-	5.26.11	Speaker Cards (4 cards) for May 26, 2011 Committee Meeting	Committee Meetings: May 26, 2011
14	5.27.11	5.27.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with June 2, 2011 Committee meeting materials. (Hard copies were also mailed – same day)	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011

Pima County Redistricting Committee

Document Log

15	6.02.11	5.27.11	Committee Meeting Agenda (June 2, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
16	5.27.11	5.27.11	Committee Meeting DRAFT Summary for May 26, 2011	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
17	5.27.11	5.27.11	Nicole Fyffe memorandum to Committee dated 5.27.11 Regarding: Bailout Provisions for Department of Justice Pre-Clearance Process, Agenda Item No. 3	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
18	5.27.11	5.27.11	Nicole Fyffe memorandum to Committee dated 5.27.11 Regarding: Agenda Item No. 4, Sample Maps and Data	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
19	5.27.11	5.27.11	CD - Agenda Item 4 Data referenced in memorandum from Nicole Fyffe to Committee dated 5.27.11 Regarding: Agenda Item No. 4, Sample Maps and Data (mailed to committee)	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
20	-	5.31.11	6.02.11 Committee Meeting Agenda; Clerk's stamped copy (dated 5.31.11 8:59 AM)	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
21	2.09.11	6.02.11	Federal Register Vol. 76 No. 27 dated Wednesday, February 9, 2011 Part III Department of Justice (Document was provided by Brad Nelson – Pima County Elections Director)	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
22	-	-	Committee Meeting REVISED Summary for May 26, 2011 (typo was corrected)	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
23	-	6.02.11	June 2, 2011 Committee Meeting DVD	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
24	-	6.02.11	Speaker Cards (1 card) for June 2, 2011 Committee Meeting	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
25	6.03.11	6.03.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with June 8, 2011 Committee meeting materials. (Hard copies were also mailed – same day)	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
26	6.08.11	6.03.11	Committee Meeting Agenda (June 8, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
27	6.03.11	6.03.11	Committee Meeting DRAFT Summary for June 2, 2011	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
28	6.03.11	6.03.11	Redistricting Advisory Committee Meeting Dates	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
29	6.03.11	6.03.11	Nanini Library Map (location of June 8, 2011 meeting)	Committee Meetings:

Pima County Redistricting Committee

Document Log

				June 8, 2011
30	6.06.11	6.06.11	Email from Nicole Fyffe providing Committee with June 8, 2011 REVISED Agenda.	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
31	6.08.11	6.06.11	REVISED Committee Meeting Agenda (June 8, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
32	-	6.06.11	REVISED 6.08.11 Committee Meeting Agenda; Clerk's stamped copy (dated 6.06.11 4:10 PM)	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
33	-	6.07.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with additional materials for the June 8, 2011 meeting.	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
34	6.07.11	6.07.11	Map – Pima County 2008 November General Election Voter Turnout	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
35	6.07.11	6.07.11	Map – Pima County 2010 November General Election Voter Turnout	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
36	6.07.11	6.07.11	Pima County Official Canvass – General Election November 4, 2008	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
37	6.07.11	6.07.11	Pima County Official Canvass – General Election November 2, 2010	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
38	6.07.11	6.07.11	CD – BOS Boundary Only Precinct Data (file was provided to committee via e-mail)	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
39	6.08.11	-	REVISED Committee Meeting Summary (June 2, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
40	6.08.11	-	Voter Turnout by Supervisor District for Current Districts, and Map Samples A, B & C	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
41	6.13.11	6.13.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with June 16, 2011 Committee meeting materials. (Hard copies were also mailed – same day)	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
42	6.13.11	6.13.11	Committee Meeting Agenda (June 16, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
43	6.13.11	6.13.11	Committee Meeting Summary (June 8, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
44	6.09.11	6.13.11	Pima County Board of Supervisor's Redistricting Plan D (as presented)	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011

Pima County Redistricting Committee

Document Log

45	6.13.11	6.13.11	Pima County Board of Supervisor's Redistricting Plan E (as presented)	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
46	-	6.13.11	Comparison of Maps D & E to Current BOS Districts – Percent Minority, Registered Voters & Turnout	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
47	5.12.11	6.13.11	Pima County Board of Supervisors Current District Boundaries Map (with precinct #, total population & total Hispanic population) – Hard copies sent by US mail.	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
48	-	-	6.16.11 Committee Meeting Agenda; Clerk's stamped copy (dated 6.13.11 3:29 PM)	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
49	-	-	June 8, 2011 Committee Meeting Audio CD	Committee Meetings: June 8, 2011
50	-	-	June 16, 2011 Committee Meeting Audio CD	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
51	-	6.13.11	Plan D & E Data – CD (E-mailed 6.13.11)	Committee Meetings: June 16, 2011
52	6.20.11	6.20.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with June 23, 2011 Committee meeting materials. (Hard copies were also mailed – same day)	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
53	6.23.11	6.20.11	Committee Meeting Agenda (June 23, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
54	6.20.11	6.20.11	Committee Meeting Summary (June 16, 2011)	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
55	6.17.11	6.20.11	Pima County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Sample C	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
56	6.17.11	6.20.11	Sample Map C Data from Vice-Chair Corey Smith	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
57	6.20.11	6.20.11	Sample Map C Data	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
58	-	-	June 23, 2011 Committee Meeting Audio CD	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
59	-	-	6.23.11 Committee Meeting Agenda; Clerk's stamped copy (dated 6.21.11 3:53 PM)	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011

Pima County Redistricting Committee

Document Log

60	6.23.11	6.23.11	Pima County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Sample C (Romero) Map	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
61	6.23.11	6.23.11	Comparison of Romero Map C to Current BOS Districts Data	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
62	6.27.11	6.27.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with Public Hearing Dates, DRAFT Map & Data.	Hearing Dates & Draft Map
63	6.27.11	6.27.11	Public Hearing Meeting Dates	Hearing Dates & Draft Map
64	6.27.11	6.27.11	Committee DRAFT Map Data	Hearing Dates & Draft Map
65	6.27.11	6.27.11	Committee DRAFT Map	Hearing Dates & Draft Map
66	-	-	Pima County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Sample C (Romero) Correction Map	Committee Meetings: June 23, 2011
67	7.11.11	7.11.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with July 14, 2011 Committee meeting materials. (Hard copies were mailed to the committee members.)	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
68	7.11.11	7.11.11	Committee Meeting Agenda (July 14, 2011)	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
69	7.11.11	7.11.11	7.14.11 Committee Meeting Agenda; Clerk's stamped copy (dated 7.11.11 11:03 AM)	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
70	7.11.11	7.11.11	Committee Meeting Summary (June 23, 2011)	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
71	7.11.11	7.11.11	Committee Draft Map	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
72	6.24.11	7.11.11	Current Comparison Data & Draft Map Comparison Data	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
73	7.13.11	7.13.11	Email from Deseret Romero providing Committee with July 13, 2011 memorandum from Nicole Fyffe regarding – Summary of Public Input Received at Public Hearings.	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
74	7.13.11	7.13.11	Memorandum from Nicole Fyffe to the Committee Regarding – Summary of	Committee Meetings:

Pima County Redistricting Committee

Document Log

			Public Input Received at Public Hearings June 29 through July 12, 2011	July 14, 2011
75	-	-	July 14, 2011 Committee Meeting Audio CD	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
76	7.14.11	7.14.11	Memorandum to the Committee, from Brad Nelson regarding the consolidation of election precincts	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
77	7.14.11	7.14.11	Precincting Map provided by Brad Nelson	Committee Meetings: July 14, 2011
78	6.02.11	6.02.11	Suggested map (data) provided by Corey Smith	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011
79	6.02.11	6.02.11	Suggested map provided by Corey Smith	Committee Meetings: June 2, 2011

ATTACHMENT 5

Pima County Redistricting Non-Committee

Document Log

Log Number	Document Date	Document Description/Source
1	4.15.11	Memorandum to Board from Mr. Huckelberry – Regarding: Redistricting Supervisorial Districts for April 19, 2011 Board Agenda
2	4.19.11	Board Meeting Minutes for April 19, 2011 meeting
3	4.21.11	Memorandum to Lori Godoshian from Supervisor Chairman Ramón Valadez – Regarding: District 2 Appointment (Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee)
4	4.21.11	Memorandum to Lori Godoshian from Supervisor Richard Elías – Regarding: District 5 Appointment (Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee)
5	4.26.11	Memorandum to Lori Godoshian from Supervisor Sharon Bronson – Regarding: District 3 Appointment (Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee)
6	4.28.11	Memorandum to Lori Godoshian from Supervisor Ray Carroll – Regarding: District 4 Appointment (Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee)
7	4.29.11	Memorandum to Lori Godoshian from Supervisor Ann Day – Regarding: District 1 Appointment (Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee)
8	5.03.11	Memorandum to Board from Mr. Huckelberry – Regarding: Public Outreach for Redistricting Supervisorial Districts for May 3, 2011 Board Agenda
9	5.03.11	Board Meeting Minutes for May 3, 2011 meeting
10	5.03.11	E-mail to Redistricting Advisory Committee from Nicole Fyffe – Regarding: First Meeting of the Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee (attached to e-mail is May 3, 2011 memorandum to the Board)
11	5.10.11	E-mail to Redistricting Advisory Committee from Nicole Fyffe – Regarding: May 26th 9-11 am Redistricting Advisory Committee First Meeting
12	5.17.11	Press Release: Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee Meeting – May 26, 2011 9:00 a.m.
13	5.23.11	E-mail from Nicole Fyffe Regarding: Tucson Citizen Redistricting Editorial (Citizen Editorial is attached, along with similar article printed by Arizona Daily Star.)
14	5.22.11	Public Notice – Arizona Daily Star Regarding: Pima County Supervisor Redistricting First Public Meeting May 26, 2011
15	5.25.11	Explorer News article – County supervisors to begin discussions on redistricting May 26

Pima County Redistricting Non-Committee

Document Log

16	6.06.11	Arizona Daily Star article – Redistricting likely to shift supervisor area slightly
17	6.09.11	Press Release: County Redistricting Public Meetings Set
18	6.12.11	Public Notice – Green Valley News Regarding: Pima County Supervisor Redistricting Advisory Committee (committee/process description & future dates)
19	6.13.11	Public Notice – Arizona Daily Star Regarding: Pima County Supervisor Redistricting Advisory Committee (committee/process description & future dates)
20	6.15.11	Public Notice – Sahuarita Sun Regarding: Pima County Supervisor Redistricting Advisory Committee (committee/process description & future dates)
21	6.15.11	Public Notice – Arizona Daily Star Regarding: Pima County Supervisor Redistricting Advisory Committee (committee/process description & future dates)
22	6.15.11	Public Notice – The Explorer Regarding: Pima County Supervisor Redistricting Advisory Committee (committee/process description & future dates)
23	6.15.11	Public Notice – Daily Territorial Regarding: Pima County Supervisor Redistricting Advisory Committee (committee/process description & future dates)
24	6.27.11	Press Release: Public Hearings Set on County Redistricting Committee Draft Map
25	6.27.11/6.29.11/7.07.11	Tucson Citizen Blog by Carolyn Classen – Public hearing schedule set for Pima County Redistricting Advisory Committee
26	6.28.11	Public Notice – Arizona Daily Star Regarding: Public Hearings on Committee Draft Map (hearing dates included)
27	6.29.11	Public Notice – Green Valley News Regarding: Public Hearings on Committee Draft Map (hearing dates included)
28	6.29.11	Public Notice – The Explorer Regarding: Public Hearings on Committee Draft Map (hearing dates included)
29	6.30.11	E-mail sent to 837 individuals from the Pima County Department of Transportation. Regarding: Public Hearing on Committee Draft Map (hearing dates included)
30	6.30.11	E-mail to Deseret Romero from Julie Simon indicating the number of individuals whom were sent the mass e-mail by the Pima County Department of Transportation
31	7.06.11	Public Hearing Transcript (Oro Valley Town Hall) by Court Reporter Diane Laur’s
32	7.07.11	Public Hearing Transcript (El Pueblo Neighborhood Center) by Court Reporter Diane Laur’s
33	7.08.11	E-mail from Sheryl Kornman providing an e-mail by the City of Tucson regarding the Public hearings of the redistricting committee. City of Tucson sent this e-mail to over 8,000

Pima County Redistricting Non-Committee

Document Log

		individuals.
34	7.11.11	Press Release: Pima County panel to hold final public hearing on revised supervisors' district map July 14
35	7.11.11	Channel 4 KVOA article – Pima redistricting map to become official Thursday
36		Public Hearing Transcript (Tucson Estates Property Owners Association) by Court Reporter Diane Laur's
37	7.12.11	Public Hearing Transcript (Kirk Bear Canyon Library) by Court Reporter Diane Laur's
38	7.12.11	E-mail from Michael Graham to Nicole Fyffe indicating how many individuals were sent the e-mail from the City of Tucson regarding the redistricting advisory committee draft map
39	7.12.11	Blog for Arizona – Pima County Supervisor Redistricting Public Hearings on Committee Draft Map
40	7.12.11	Green Valley News - County Supervisors new district maps to be shown
41	7.16.11	Green Valley News - Carroll's reach in green valley may get shorter
42	7.19.11	Green Valley News – Bracco: Something fishy about new supervisor districts
43	8.03.11	Letter to Roy Flores, Chancellor for Pima Community College Regarding: Redistricting and the Community College Board of Governors
44	8.03.11	Request for Libraries to post the attached request for comment on the committee recommended map and committee description