



Pima County Multi-Species Conservation Plan Comments

1. The MSCP is a critical component of the SDCP. Because of the large body of work that went into the development of the SDCP, the current version of the MSCP gives a cursory overview of the SDCP planning process. Please comment on if there is sufficient background information.

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--------------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| Yes |  | 60.0% | 3 |
| No |  | 60.0% | 3 |
| If No, please elaborate: | | | 4 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | | 5 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | | 1 |

2. Chapter 2: Chapter 2 provides an overview of the anticipated impacts from activities proposed for coverage under the permit. Please comment on the activities and/or the impacts identified in this chapter.

| | | Response Count |
|--------------------------|--|----------------|
| | | 2 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 2 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 4 |

3. Chapter 2. The MSCP Permit Area is a subset of Pima County and many lands are excluded. Because of the uncertainty of the future of State Trust lands, Pima County is excluding private lands in unincorporated Pima County that are derived from the sale of these lands after the issuance of the permit. Please comment on this exclusion.

| | | Response Count |
|--------------------------|--|----------------|
| | | 3 |
| <i>answered question</i> | | 3 |
| <i>skipped question</i> | | 3 |

4. Chapter 3. A key feature of any incidental take permit is to ensure that anticipated impacts from covered activities be sufficiently mitigated. In Chapter 3, Pima County outlines the mitigation elements of the plan. Please comment on these measures.

| | | Response Count |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 3 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 3 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 3 |

5. Chapter 4. Long-term management of mitigation lands is critical to the success of the MSCP. Chapter 4 highlights management directives, enforcement, and grazing standards and guidelines that will provide a foundation for future management decisions. Please comment on these measures.

| | | Response Count |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 3 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 3 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 3 |

6. Chapter 5. Monitoring is a critical element of the MSCP and it will provide tools for assessing the effectiveness of acquisition and management programs. Pima County is proposing an approach to monitoring that includes a mix of monitoring elements: single species, habitat, landscape pattern, and threats. Please provide comments on this approach or any recommendations on specific program elements.

| | | Response Count |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |

7. Chapter 6. Because of the long-term nature of the Section 10 permit (30 years, with reviews every 10 years), Changed and Unforeseen Circumstances must be articulated in the MSCP to ensure that Pima County and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service respond appropriately. Please comment on these circumstances and specifically if additional circumstances should be addressed.

| | | Response Count |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |

8. Chapter 7. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will require that funding amounts and mechanisms be sufficient to achieve the mitigation, management, and monitoring activities outlined in the Pima County MSCP. Pima County has already made a significant commitment to MSCP-related activities. Please comments on the recommended funding mechanisms.



| | | Response Count |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |










9. Chapter 8. Public and scientific input has played a critical role in the development of the SDCP and MSCP. In this version of the MSCP, Pima County is proposing a host of advisory teams that will help guide management and monitoring activities. Please comment on the proposed advisory/oversight structure and any ideas that you may have.


| | | Response Count |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |





10. General Comments. Please let us know if you have additional comments on the Pima County MSCP.

| | | Response Count |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | 2 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |





| 11. Would you like to be contacted for additional information related to the MSCP? | | | | |
|--|--|--------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Response Percent | Response Count |
| Yes |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| No | | 0.0% | 0 | |
| Email notification, only |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| What types of information would you like to receive? | | | 1 | |
| | | | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | | | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |

| 12. If you would like to be contacted for additional information or would like to be given email notification of future products such as reports and newsletters, please provide your contact information. | | | | |
|--|--|--------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Response Percent | Response Count |
| Name: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Company: |  | 50.0% | 1 | |
| Address: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Address 2: | | 0.0% | 0 | |
| City/Town: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| State: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| ZIP/Postal Code: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Country: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Email Address: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Phone Number: |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| | | | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | | | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |


| 13. Do you live in Pima County? | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Response Percent | Response Count |
| Yes |  | 100.0% | 3 | |
| No | | 0.0% | 0 | |
| | | | answered question | 3 |
| | | | skipped question | 3 |

| 14. Have you been involved in any SDCP or MSCP planning efforts such as public scoping sessions, committees, or have you provided written comments in the past? | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Response Percent | Response Count |
| No previous participation | | 0.0% | 0 | |
| Public scoping sessions |  | 50.0% | 1 | |
| Attended SDCP or MSCP meetings |  | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Committee member |  | 50.0% | 1 | |
| Provided written comments |  | 50.0% | 1 | |
| | | | Other (please specify) | 1 |
| | | | answered question | 2 |
| | | | skipped question | 4 |

15. •Are you familiar with the SDCP? Check the following that apply:

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| 2004 Open Space Bond Program |  | 100.0% | 2 |
| Conservation Lands System |  | 50.0% | 1 |
| Ranch acquisitions |  | 100.0% | 2 |
| Conservation easements | | 0.0% | 0 |
| Restoration Programs |  | 50.0% | 1 |
| Other (please specify) | | | 0 |
| | | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |


16. Had you read a previous version of the Pima County MSCP?

| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-----|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| Yes |  | 100.0% | 2 |
| No | | 0.0% | 0 |
| | | <i>answered question</i> | 2 |
| | | <i>skipped question</i> | 4 |

17. What features do you use most often on the SDCP website? How can these features be improved?

| | | Response Count |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| | | 2 |
| | | <i>answered question</i> |
| | | <i>skipped question</i> |

| 18. What would you like to see on the SDCP website? | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | Response Count |
| | | 1 |
| | <i>answered question</i> | 1 |
| | <i>skipped question</i> | 5 |

| 19. In comparison to other websites you visit, how would you rate the SDCP website in terms of organization and ease of use? | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | Response Percent | Response Count |
| Poor | | 0.0% | 0 |
| Standard |  | 33.3% | 1 |
| Excellent |  | 66.7% | 2 |
| Additional comments regarding the website? | | | 0 |
| | | <i>answered question</i> | 3 |
| | | <i>skipped question</i> | 3 |